Appeal Decisions between 09/08/2021 and 07/09/2021

Decision Date	Original Planning Application	Appeal Reference	Inspectors Decision	Inspectors Reference Number
20/08/2021	20/01074/LBC	2021/0005	Appeal Dismissed	APP/N1160/Y/21/3268605
Ward				
St Peters & the \	Waterfront			
Address				
23 Clarence Plac	e Stonehouse Plymouth PL1 3JW			
Application Des	scription			
Appeal Process	Officers I	Name		
Written Represe	ntations Ms Abbe	y Edwards		
Synopsis		<u>, </u>		
therefore consid Framework. Hav	lered contrary to Joint Local Plan F ving reviewed the information, the	Policy DEV21, the guidance Inspector concluded that t	contained within the Plymouth and the works would have a negative im	y detailed historic assessment of the building. The works were I SW Devon SPD and the National Planning Policy apact on the Grade II listed building and would fail to preserve ult to assess the impact of aspects of the development. It was

Decision Date	Original Planning Application	Appeal Reference	Inspectors Decision	Inspectors Reference Number
03/09/2021	20/01146/FUL	2021/0010	Appeal Dismissed	APP/N1160/W/21/3274064
Ward				
Plympton St Ma	ry			
Address				
4 Stoggy Lane Pl	ymouth PL7 2DL			
Application Des	scription			
Change of use f (Retrospective)		e comprising of side exten	nsion as hairdressing salon (Class E(c)(iii)) and continued residential use of the rest of the house
Appeal Process	Officers Na	ame		
Written Represe	entations Miss Josep	hine Maddick		
Synopsis				
with its quiet res South West Dev future users. Th alternatives. Cor DEV18 and DEV2	sidential surroundings and that nois on Joint Local Plan (2014-2034) and le Inspector did not agree that the a ntrary to the Councils assessment th 20 of the Local Plan, along with the	e and disturbance generat in conflict with the Frame pplicant had failed to dem he Inspector concluded that Frameworks aims to ensur	ted by the use would be harmful to eworks aim of achieving well-design nonstrate that the local centre optic at the sequential test was passed a re the vitality of town centres. It was	ector agreed that the development would be out of character adjacent dwellings, contrary to Policy DEV1 of the Plymouth and places with a high standard of amenity for existing and ons have been thoroughly assessed as sequentially preferable and the proposal would accord with Policies SPT5, SPT6, DEV16 as also concluded that there would be no conflict with Policy due to the harm to residential amenity.